

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 09/819,401 | • | 03/27/2001 | Laurent Humeau | 397272000700 | 3802 | |
| 25225 | 7590 | 01/15/2003 | | | | |
| MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP | | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500 | | | | LI, BA | LI, BAO Q | |
| SAN DIEGO | , CA 92 | 2130-2332 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | * | 1648 | 17. | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003 | 14 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/819,401 | HUMEAU ET AL. | |
| nance, y neuen | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Bao Qun Li | 1648 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper repl n places the applica | y to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropertionally set in the final | on. See MPEP copriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | | |
| · · · <u> </u> | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require furthe | · · | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | • | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | S |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been consideration Sheet. | dered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 1. | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6 and 8-21</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a)∏ approved or b)∏ disappı | oved by the Exami | ner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | | | |
| 10. Other: interview summary of page 15 | | | |
| | | Bao Qun Li | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment of claim 1 introduces a new matter and new issue that change the scope of claimed invention and requirefurther search and consideration. The rejections made in the previous Office Action are maintained.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

2